

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Main Contact Information

| | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Institution Nipissing University | | | |
| Contact Family Name d'Entremont | | Contact Given Name Harley | |
| Contact Position Associate Vice-President, Academic and Research | | Contact Department Research Office | |
| Contact Telephone number Area Code 705 | Number 474-3450 | Extension 4254 | Contact E-Mail address at institution harleyd@nipissingu.ca |

Financial Contact Information

| | | | |
|--|--------------------|--|--|
| Financial Contact Family Name Saucier | | Financial Contact Given Name Shannon | |
| Financial Contact Position Director of Finance | | Financial Contact Department Finance Office | |
| Financial Contact Telephone number Area Code 705 | Number 474-3450 | Extension 4027 | Financial Contact E-Mail address at institution shannonsa@nipissingu.ca |

Statement of Account

Total Indirect Costs Funds available in 2011-2012 A

Expenditures incurred in 2011-2012

| | |
|--|---|
| Facilities | \$5,000 |
| Resources | \$50,000 |
| Management and Administration | \$155,390 |
| Regulatory Requirements and Accreditation | \$10,000 |
| Intellectual Property | \$0 |
| Total Indirect Costs expenditures incurred in 2011-2012 | B <input type="text" value="\$220,390"/> |

Outstanding Commitments (The expenditure was incurred but the invoice was not paid in the period ending March 31, but was paid before June 30. Be sure to include the commitments in the appropriate area(s) above.)

Health Research Affiliates

For organizations with health research affiliates only: for each area of priority, indicate the actual amount of your 2011-2012 grant that was spent by your health research affiliates.

| | |
|---|--|
| Facilities | |
| Resources | |
| Management and Administration | |
| Regulatory Requirements and Accreditation | |
| Intellectual Property | |

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section I - Facilities

Expenditures

Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways?

Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new Expenditures, you would check both A or B).

| Expenditure category | A) The grant covered existing expenditures | B) The grant covered new expenditures (not previously covered by grant) | C) The grant did not cover this category | In which category was the largest proportion of your 2011-2012 grant invested? |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Renovation and maintenance of research facilities (excluding expenditures incurred to meet regulatory requirements - see Section IV) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Upgrade, operations and maintenance of equipment | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Operating costs (custodial, security, maintenance, utilities, leasing, capital planning, insurance on research space) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Technical support for laboratories, offices and other facilities (excluding technical support for animal care - see section IV) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section I - Facilities (continued)

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are these expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?
- what percentage of your O&M expenditure supports CFI-funded equipment?

The university hired a custodian for the regular maintenance of the research facility in addition to the education wings of the university.

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section II - Research Resources

Expenditures

Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways?

Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new expenditures, you would check both A or B).

| Expenditure category | A) The grant covered existing expenditures | B) The grant covered new expenditures (not previously covered by grant) | C) The grant did not cover this category | In which category was the largest proportion of your 2011-2012 grant invested? |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Acquisition of library holdings (journals, books, collections, periodicals, Canada National Site Licensing project, etc.) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Improvements to electronic information resources (access to databases, telecommunications systems, information technology systems, and research tools) (excluding technology to track grants and to provide financial services - see Section III) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Library operating costs and administration (custodial, security, maintenance, utilities, leasing, capital planning, staff salaries) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Insurance on research equipment and vehicles | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section II - Research Resources (continued)

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are these expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?
- what proportion of the acquisitions and operating budget of the library is covered by the Indirect Costs Program?
- do you participate in inter-institutional consortia or partnerships to assist in cost reduction in this expenditure category?

Additional holdings were purchased for the Library with the opening of the New Harris Learning Centre in 2011.

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section III - Management and Administration

Expenditures

Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways?

Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new expenditures, you would check both A and B).

| Expenditure category | A) The grant covered existing expenditures | B) The grant covered new expenditures (not previously covered by grant) | C) The grant did not cover this category | In which category was the largest proportion of your 2011-2012 grant invested? |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Institutional support for the completion of grant applications / research proposals. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Acquisition, maintenance and/or upgrade of information systems to track grant applications, certifications, and awards. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Eligible training of faculty and research personnel (excluding training to meet regulatory requirements - see Section IV) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Human resources and payroll | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Financial and audit costs | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Research planning and promotion, public relations | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number

P0040

Section III - Management and Administration (continued)

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are these expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?
- do you participate in inter-institutional consortia or partnerships that assist in cost reduction in this expenditure category?

The addition of the Assistance Vice-President Research greatly enhanced the services offered to researchers. The AVP Research also assisted with the review and preparation of grant proposals before submission.

The University Research Facility has a lab technician that ensures the labs are fully stocked of supplies and required material for research.

The newly created Laboratory Safety and BioSafety Officer position ensures the Researchers and students using the facilities are trained in bio safety and have proper bio safety protocols in place.

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section IV - Regulatory Requirements and Accreditation

Expenditures

Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways?

Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new expenditures, you would check both A and B).

| Expenditure category | A) The grant covered existing expenditures | B) The grant covered new expenditures (not previously covered by grant) | C) The grant did not cover this category | In which category was the largest proportion of your 2011-2012 grant invested? |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Creation and support of regulatory bodies | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Training of faculty and other research personnel in health and safety, animal care, ethics review, handling radiation and biohazards, and environmental assessments | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. International accreditation costs related to research capacity | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Upgrades to, and maintenance of facilities and equipment to meet requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Technical support for animal care, handling of dangerous substances and biohazards | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section IV - Regulatory Requirements and Accreditation (continued)

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are these expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?
- to what extent is compliance with Canadian and international regulations required to access research funds from international sources?

The University Research Facility has a lab technician that ensures the labs are fully stocked of supplies and required material for research.

The newly created Laboratory Safety and BioSafety Officer position ensures the Researchers and students using the facilities are trained in bio safety and have proper bio safety protocols in place.

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section V - Intellectual Property

Expenditures

Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways?

Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new expenditures, you would check both A and B).

| Expenditure category | A) The grant covered existing expenditures | B) The grant covered new expenditures (not previously covered by grant) | C) The grant did not cover this category | In which category was the largest proportion of your 2011-2012 grant invested? |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Creation, expansion, or sustenance of a technology transfer office or similar function | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Administration of invention patent applications | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Support for technology licensing | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Administration of agreements and partnerships with industry | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Administration of agreements and partnerships with the public sector (federal, provincial, municipal governments; including health, education, and social services) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section V - Intellectual Property (continued)

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are these expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section VI - Overall Impacts

We strongly recommend that the Vice-President of research (or equivalent) answer the following questions pertaining to the overall impacts of the Indirect Costs grant.

1. Attraction and retention of researchers

Has the Indirect Costs grant contributed to the attraction and retention of high-quality researchers at your institution?

Yes No

If "yes", please provide an explanation.

2. Attraction of additional funding

Has the Indirect Costs grant contributed directly to your institution's ability to attract additional funding to support the research environment?

Yes No

If "yes", please provide an explanation.

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section VI - Overall Impacts

3. Redirection of funds

In the case of a number of institutions, the incremental impact of the Indirect Costs Program includes not only the results of investing the grant itself, but also the results of the other investments the institution is able to make by re-directing its own funds away from the areas covered with the grant. These impacts may be in the area of research support or also in the institution's renewed ability to meet the other aspects of its mandate.

Has your institution redirected some of its own operating funds as a result of the Indirect Costs Program?

Yes No

If "yes", please provide an explanation.

4. Other overall impacts

If the Indirect Costs Program has had other overall impacts on your institution, which were not listed in the previous questions, please provide details.

Indirect Costs Outcomes Report

File Number P0040

Section VII - Public Disclosure Requirement for Institutions

As of June 30, 2012, institutions are required to post a few elements of information on the indirect costs of research and the Program on their website. Please copy and paste below the URL of the webpage where this information is posted.

Section VIII - Your comments

Describe any problem you have experienced with the Indirect Costs Program, suggest improvements to the program, or highlight particular successes of the program at your institution.